

Tortuga

Password hashing based on the Turtle algorithm

Teath Sch <teathsch@jailcity.com>

## Introduction

Password hashing algorithms are a staple in any cryptographic toolbox, yet they remain underutilized and misused. They provide two main functions: key stretching and key derivation. Common cryptographic hash functions are often used instead of dedicated password hashing algorithms. This approach is often hazardous because ad hoc constructions of password hashing algorithms aren't misuse resistant. In fact, they are notoriously prone to catastrophic failure. The Tortuga algorithm works well for both key stretching and key derivation, however, the basic concept is untested in practice and hasn't been subjected to any review.

The new algorithm is a keyed sponge function with a recursive Feistel network as the permutation. Specifically, the permutation used is the Turtle algorithm originally designed by Matt Blaze [1].

The basic idea is to create a block cipher on the fly which requires an enormous key. This achieves memory-hardness. The importance of memory hardness in password hashing applications was thoroughly explored by Colin Percival, the inventor of the scrypt algorithm [2]. In a Feistel block cipher construction, the parts of the key that have already been used can be discarded, however this doesn't provide an opportunity for a non-trivial memory optimization because the

intermediate ciphertexts must be saved. If  $N$  is the block size in bits of a cipher, then a Feistel network always requires at least  $N$  bits of memory at any point in its execution. An attacker could discard portions of the ciphertext only to recompute them later, but the loss in speed will be such that it can only be regained by parallelizing the attack in a way which will require at least as much circuitry (give or take a small constant depending on the platform) as was gained by discarding ciphertexts.

### **Description**

The key and block sizes of the permutation are variable. The key size is the smallest power of four greater than the size of the password in bytes. The block size is the square root of the key size. This relationship is inherent in the 4-RFN Turtle algorithm. The  $w$  parameter (word size) to the Turtle algorithm is fixed to be one byte. The permutation parameter to the Turtle algorithm is:

$$\text{permutation}(n, \text{word}, \text{key}) = (\text{word} + \text{key}[n]) \bmod 256$$

Note: this is not the main permutation of our sponge construction. The Turtle algorithm uses this small sub-permutation recursively to generate the necessary block cipher on the fly.

The rate of the sponge function is set to  $\text{block\_size} / 4$ . The

```
capacity is set to rate * 3;
```

```
rate      = block_size / 4
```

```
capacity = rate * 3
```

```
bitrate  = rate + capacity
```

The algorithm happens five steps.

1. Permutation Key generation
2. Password hardening
3. Absorbing
4. Iteration
5. Squeezing

Auxillary Function: encode\_uint()

The following C code demonstrates the encode\_uint() function

```
void encode_uint(uint8_t * res, uint32_t x) {  
    res[0] = x          & 0xFF;  
    res[1] = (x >>  8) & 0xFF;  
    res[2] = (x >> 16) & 0xFF;  
    res[3] = (x >> 24) & 0xFF;  
}
```

Step One: Permutation Key generation

The permutation key is derived from the salt. It is hardened against length extension attacks by initializing key buffer with the salt

size.

Pseudocode:

```
function genkey(key, keylen, salt) {  
    for (i = 0, j = 0; i < keylen; i += 4, ++j) {  
        key[i:i+4]^=turtle(encode_uint(i), key[i:i+4]);  
    }  
    if (length(salt) > 16) {  
        return genkey(key, keylen, salt[16:end])  
    }  
    return key;  
}
```

```
key = genkey(encode_uint(length(salt)), keylen, salt);
```

### Step Two: Password hardening

To protect against length extension attacks, the length of the password is encrypted using an instantiation of the Turtle cipher and initializing the sponge state buffer with the output.

```
sponge_state = turtle[p](length(password), key)
```

This is equivalent to prepending the zero-padded length of the password to itself.

### Step Three: Absorbing

The password is absorbed into the sponge state in the standard way. The password is zero padded to a multiple of *rate*. *rate* sized chunks of the password are xor'd into the sponge state and the main keyed permutation is called each time.

#### Step Four: Iteration

This is where the key stretching happens.

```
min_iterations = (t_cost * 16 / key_bytes + 1) * 2
```

The main permutation is called on the sponge state `min_iterations` times.

Repeat `min_iterations` times:

```
sponge_state = turtle[p](sponge_state, key)
```

Note that `min_iterations` was adjusted to reflect the size of the permutation so that the overall running time is mostly unrelated to the `m_cost` parameter. This works quite well in practice. For example, changing the `t_cost` parameter from 5000 to 10000 will roughly double the running time, but changing the `m_cost` parameter from 50 to 5000 will have little effect on the running time.

#### Step Five: Squeezing

The final hash value is extracted in the standard way for sponge constructions. *rate* bits are repeatedly copied from the sponge state interleaved with calls to the main permutation, This is repeated until *outlen* bytes have been extracted.

### Statement on Hidden Weaknesses

There are no deliberately hidden weaknesses and/or backdoors in the Tortuga algorithm. There are no deliberately hidden weaknesses and/or backdoors in the Tortuga reference implementation.

### Use Cases

Tortuga is appropriate for key stretching applications. It is also good for key derivation because the output length is variable.

### Security Analysis

Cryptanalysis of the recursive Feistel structure for the Turtle cipher is known to be in NP [1]. The actual security of an instantiation depends on the sub-permutation and its relationship with the mixing operation of the Feistel structure. The sub-permutation uses addition while the mixing operation using bitwise addition. This alternation between operations of different algebraic orders is known to provide confusion and diffusion with increasing numbers of rounds [3].

The capacity of the sponge function is related to the `m_cost` parameter. The “resistance level” for a hermetic sponge is the capacity divided by a safety margin [4].

### **Efficiency Analysis**

Because Tortuga has such a simple description, various optimizations are possible. No attempts at platform dependent optimizations have been carried out yet, but it should be relatively easy to translate Tortuga into “close-to-metal” implementations.

Formal operation counts/lower bounds on complexity have not been computed yet, but the algorithm should be fairly easy to analyze in this regard.

### **Reference Code**

Complete reference code in C is available. At the time of writing, no Web site exists for Tortuga. To get a copy of the current code, send an email to [teathsch@jailcity.com](mailto:teathsch@jailcity.com).

### **Intellectual Property Statement**

The Tortuga algorithm and reference implementation are and will remain available worldwide on a royalty free basis. The authors/designers are unaware of any patent or patent application that covers the use or implementation of the Tortuga algorithm.



## References

- [1] Matt Blaze. Efficient Symmetric-Key Ciphers Based on an NP Complete Subproblem. <http://www.crypto.com/papers/turtle.pdf>
- [2] Colin Percival. Stronger Key Derivation Via Sequential Memory-Hard Functions. <https://www.tarsnap.com/scrypt/scrypt.pdf>
- [3] David J. Wheeler and Roger M. Needham. Tea, a Tiny Encryption Algorithm. <http://www.cix.co.uk/~klockstone/tea.pdf>
- [4] Guido Bertoni, Joan Daemen, Michael Peeters, and Gilles Van Assche. Sponge functions. CANS 2001, Sanya, December 10. Page 25.

## Test Vectors

t\_cost: 987  
m\_cost: 610  
pass: 1  
salt: Satoshi  
Result: F940DE8BF66DE96394076005B67A030BEFFF3D1854B8C8F50F66FA3EB4DA912E

t\_cost: 3  
m\_cost: 34  
pass: 987654321  
salt: abcde  
Result: CB678F5DDD11B296EC08B191B901BBA9474615C30250AB984691518A14724921

t\_cost: 89  
m\_cost: 2584  
pass: 987654321  
salt: Satoshi  
Result: 3D7F810372058BB6BC792763B211B3EE822F12DB97C61E7A3FAF12221316AE09

t\_cost: 1

m\_cost: 8  
pass: qwerty  
salt: Satoshi  
Result: 2B65E24D7CB72554B18AE38D3AC5FC7F0D7CB9CA6B45C2CDFCB78534B10A232D

t\_cost: 0  
m\_cost: 6  
pass: 12  
salt: abcde  
Result: EFF7015868935391EE167FDF290070A31B49D6DE8FE7A168485343F1BE363FAF

t\_cost: 6  
m\_cost: 3  
pass: 1f9fndsa  
salt: abcde  
Result: BD9364302755B73AC693A5735CF82F2DF722FE4B0DA3C44057A5A73AD6637523

t\_cost: 3  
m\_cost: 6765  
pass: changeme  
salt: 123456  
Result: D4C01AEAA133662E27BFD8DB3D2219A8DCA6A3C7669750141D48711FE9AF796D

t\_cost: 2  
m\_cost: 3  
pass: ufne7hkq  
salt: Satoshi  
Result: 44D9CE45732A7D487D17FC592E3DE3C2BD48555714495EA563BA6D58DD478C49

t\_cost: 55  
m\_cost: 1  
pass: 12  
salt: abcde  
Result: 3FAF09B07003AB29669EEFD7E19828533371EE567FFF494030A33BE9161E4F07

t\_cost: 2  
m\_cost: 377  
pass: 1  
salt: abcde  
Result: 1F5F32BAECA6FEE6EE9C946CE7228C60CB9255006D5643837D8BCB2F62F731B4

t\_cost: 8  
m\_cost: 610  
pass: 12  
salt: Satoshi  
Result: 9B5F30E6C29D13220A459C126ADB090D9D09EEEDFF82534D6C6B70991886C5F6

t\_cost: 0  
m\_cost: 3  
pass: changeme  
salt: 123456  
Result: 44EA42F9D3A8CE2E8381DCDA82F56FE846C6CF9D54DA5251BB78AEEE0B99AC5A

t\_cost: 2  
m\_cost: 3  
pass: ufne7hkq  
salt: 123456  
Result: 14FEEF36C33C9E4D7A2D54168B468794BEE9B22124BE37167B6C5EA59A1564F6

t\_cost: 28657  
m\_cost: 2  
pass: 12  
salt: Satoshi  
Result: C037938B7EF2A753338C807FC34B46829FB3A364E0F713DBCE72A793C35C80DF

t\_cost: 377  
m\_cost: 3  
pass: ufne7hkq  
salt: 123456  
Result: 24F603B68FD46EF1F279D43E1F96F3FC9E7D1ADDD4F63BA697547E3912D184BE

t\_cost: 6  
m\_cost: 0  
pass: changeme  
salt: 123456  
Result: 82F56FE846C6CF9D54DA5251BB78AEEE0B99AC5A52EDF7C8A6D65755242AC269

t\_cost: 1597  
m\_cost: 3  
pass: ufne7hkq  
salt:  
Result: E4590E9D47FE5DECDDE324D176B51FCE0564F58B04292E8D27FE8DECED83E4C1

t\_cost: 1597  
m\_cost: 3  
pass: 1f9fndsa  
salt: Satoshi  
Result: 547C21C9231E36253513CC3C81294B26C6F5A54BA42CF1E9736E463515037CCC

t\_cost: 89  
m\_cost: 4181  
pass: qwerty  
salt:  
Result: 083D09478394FB47E8F217073B9D1BAFB135629614EEAB5723D5489BE2E4523A

t\_cost: 610  
m\_cost: 987  
pass: 12  
salt: abcde  
Result: A4669637CEFE66B2A78AAAF9EE5924925C9DDC893842E539775B9262E3FFC128

t\_cost: 144  
m\_cost: 0  
pass: 987654321  
salt:

Result: DEAA6F1C928060279E84CECA3FAC2210C077EED49EAA2F5CD240E067DEC48ECA

t\_cost: 2584

m\_cost: 2584

pass: 987654321

salt: 123456

Result: ACA55A573C53BBFD0E3F3903300B1F611638B64E21E844D3C234134DBDD4F3DF

t\_cost: 10946

m\_cost: 4

pass: 1f9fndsa

salt:

Result: F59B085CCDD9137E42714D2B68DC85A1437E12D9C5CB28DCED89031EC2117D7B

t\_cost: 4

m\_cost: 4181

pass: password

salt: abcde

Result: 2989783E6FA637184AF92A5EBD24E98A970042E01697B4366033CE64AF2F20E4

t\_cost: 377

m\_cost: 987

pass: changeme

salt: 123456

Result: 414C209902A547109E01EB168B621A4846199A503279BE3FC80286CF786586A6

t\_cost: 89

m\_cost: 8

pass: qwerty

salt: Satoshi

Result: 0D7CB9CA6B45C2CDFCB78534B10A232D1A85BCFF2D5C39CAEB6562CDBCF7A5D4

t\_cost: 4

m\_cost: 6

pass:

salt:

Result: 19E0B9E582190E2D31B4B99851B582D96675D1E4596089F52219CE5D411479B8

t\_cost: 17711

m\_cost: 6765

pass: 1

salt: Satoshi

Result: E34FB3FBEBD4CC8BA4AA5626472694D9192A6D0A49F6C769538F3FA4CC365048

t\_cost: 10946

m\_cost: 233

pass: ufne7hkq

salt:

Result: 40112A95649A597497381D65A631512F3C29A4DED02B47D8A4A7A8725FA5F4E0

t\_cost: 28657

m\_cost: 89

pass: changeme

salt: 123456

Result: 8FE02FC5CFF179C800B6E2DEAB8EEA4216F9744066D6E558AB6848F6DF672A48

t\_cost: 610

m\_cost: 987

pass:

salt: 123456

Result: F65E49BB424000232967FEEF7BEA23007DAC20AF714642181D412C8433799260

t\_cost: 3

m\_cost: 2

pass: 987654321

salt: Satoshi

Result: 12F47E529F7CDE3CD44FA294164A7F4C6E243C8FD2A47E425FBCAEBBC64CF8284